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Evaluation of bone mineral density and related parameters in patients with haemophilia: a single center cross-sectional study
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Abstract: Haemophilia has been associated with low bone mineral density (BMD) probably due to some predisposing factors. The aim of this study was to evaluate the relationship between BMD and potential clinical predictors in adult haemophilic patients. Fortynine patients with moderate and severe haemophilia were enrolled. BMD was measured by Dual Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry (DXA) and blood tests were performed for vitamin D, calcium, phosphore, alkaline phosphatase and parathormone levels. Functional Independence Score in Haemophilia (FISH) and Haemophilia Joint Health Score (HJHS) were used to assess musculoskeletal functions. Body mass index (BMI), Hepatitis C virus (HCV)/Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) seropositivity and smoking status were also recorded. BMD was found lower than expected for reference age in 34.8% of patients of less than 50 years old. In patients older than 50 years, 66.6% of them had osteoporosis and 33.3% of them had normal BMD. FISH score was statistically correlated with BMD of total hip (TH) and femur neck (FN) but not with lumbar spine (LS). In eligible patients, there was also a statistically significant correlation between BMD of TH and HJHS. Vitamine D deficiency was common and found in 77.5% of patients, although there was no significant correlation with BMD. Also no correlation was found between BMD and blood tests, HCV/HIV status, BMI and smoking. This study confirmed that patients with haemophilia have an increased prevalence of low BMD even in younger group. Our results showed that there are significant correlations between FISH score and BMD of TH and FN and also between HJHS score and BMD of TH. Thus, using scoring systems may be beneficial as a simple predictors of BMD to reflect the severity of haemophilic arthropathy.

Keywords: Haemophilia, bone mineral density, osteoporosis, FISH, HJHS

Introduction
Haemophilia is a rare, X-linked recessive inherited bleeding disorder characterized by deficiency of coagulation factor VIII (Haemophilia A) and factor IX (Haemophilia B) [1]. The life expectancy of patients with haemophilia has remarkably improved over the last few decades due to the advances in the clinical management strategies [2, 3]. Recently, underestimated comorbidities like osteoporosis which is more likely to be seen in advanced ages has become more popular in patients with haemophilia [4]. Nevertheless, only few references are available in the literature about bone health in haemophilic population.

Osteoporosis is a systemic bone disease characterized by low bone mineral density (BMD) and microarchitecture deterioration of bone tissue which leads to increased bone fragility and risk of fracture. Although it is less common in men than women, there is an increasing attention on male osteoporosis as a leading cause of morbidity and mortality [5]. While age-related bone loss is the primary cause of osteoporosis in men. Secondary factors including some chronical diseases, excessive alcohol intake and smoking, exogenous or endogenous glucocorticoid excess and hypogonadism may cause low BMD in younger group [6, 7].

It has been shown that patients with haemophilia have some predisposing factors that can
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cause low BMD including particularly the physical inactivity caused by haemophilic arthropathy, vitamin D deficiency and increased HCV/HIV seropositivity [8, 9]. Several studies assessing the impact of potential risk factors for low bone mass in patients with haemophilia have found conflicting results although they were mostly in agreement on increased prevalence of reduced BMD. The aim of this study was to evaluate the prevalence of low BMD and the relationship between BMD and potential clinical predictors in adult haemophilic patients.

Material and methods

Patient characteristics

This cross-sectional study was conducted on 49 patients with moderate and severe haemophilia aged between 20-60 who were followed up in Ege University Adult Haemophilia Centre from November 2014 to July 2015. According to the factor VIII and IX levels, moderate haemophilia was defined as a factor activity level ≥1 percent of normal and ≤5 percent of normal, corresponding to ≥0.01 and ≤0.05 IU/mL. Severe haemophilia was defined as <1 percent factor activity, which correspondes to <0.01 IU/mL. Hepatitis C virus (HCV)/Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) seropositivity, Body Mass Index (BMI), alcohol consumption and smoking status were recorded for each individual. The study was approved by Ege University Hospital Ethic Committee and all of the patients provided informed written consent.

Bone densitometry (DXA)

Dual Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry (DXA) is considered the gold standard for the diagnosis of osteoporosis worldwide and it is also recommended to assess BMD for men at risk of osteoporosis. According to the World Health Organisation (WHO) classification system, for people over the age of 50, T-score of less than -2.5 SD of the standard normal population is defined as osteoporosis, a T-score of between -1 and -2.5 SD is defined as osteopenia, and >-1 SD is defined as normal [10]. For the patients under the age of 50, a Z-score which is defined by comparing the expected BMD level in the age-matched healthy group is used. Z-score of -2 SD or below is defined as “bone density lower than expected for age”, between -2 SD and -1 SD is considered as “low normal” and a Z-score above -1 SD is “normal” [11]. In our study a DXA scan of the lumbar spine (LS), femoral neck (FN) and total hip (TH) was performed by a Hologic QDR-4500A (S/N. 45469) scanner. Although BMD values in g/cm² were also collected, T and Z-scores were primarily preferred in this study as they better reflect the comparisons related to age. Thus, patients were categorized into the groups as normal BMD, BMD lower than expected for age/low normal BMD, osteopenic and osteoporotic.

Joint score (HJHS)

Haemophilia Joint Health Score (HJHS) was developed by the Physiotherapy Working Group of the International Prophylaxis Study Group (IPSG) to evaluate the early signs of arthropathy in six main joints of the elbows, knees and ankles [12]. Although it is widely used in pediatric population, recently it is also recommended to use for adults [13, 14]. In this study, our physiotherapists performed HJHS version 2.1 assessment to all patients and evaluated swelling, duration of swelling, muscular atrophy, axial alignment, crepitus on motion, loss of range of motion in extension and flexion, joint pain, strength, gait at joint level and global gait parameters and calculated total joint score. The total HJHS score ranges from 0 to 124 which represent the perfect and the worst joint health, respectively.

Functional ability questionnaire (FISH)

The Functional Independence Score in Haemophilia (FISH) is an objective, performance-based assessment tool to evaluate the functional ability of patients with haemophilia [15]. In our study, FISH was used to assess musculoskeletal functions for each patient. Self-care (eating, grooming, bathing, and dressing), transfers (chair and squatting), and mobility (walking, going up stairs, and running) were assessed and each function was assigned a score of 1 to 4. The total FISH scores ranged from 7 (the worst) to 28 (the best) were recorded.

Blood tests

Blood tests was performed for all patients to detect the vitamin D, calcium (Ca), phosphore (P), alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and parathormone (PTH) levels. Vitamin D deficiency is
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Figure 1. BMD status of the patients based on Z-score for <50 years and T-score for ≥50 years.

defined as a 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration (25(OH)D) of less than 50 nmol/L (20 ng/ml) and Vitamin D insufficiency is defined as a 25(OH)D concentration of 50 to 75 nmol/L (20 to 30 ng/mL) [16]. Reference ranges for blood tests in our biochemical laboratory were as following; Ca: 8.6-10.2 mg/dL, P: 2.3-4.5 mg/dL, ALP: 40-129 U/L, and PTH: 11-67 pg/mL.

Statistical analysis

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS version 15.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, United States) software was used for all statistical analysis and P<0.05 was considered significant. Descriptive statistics results are presented as means with ± SDs or 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) to describe the numeric variables. In this study Pearson correlation analysis was basically used to measure the strength and direction of the linear relationship between BMD and other variables. Additionally, T test was used to compare the means of related parameters for the moderate and severe haemophilia groups, One way ANOVA was used to determine the statistical significant difference between associated variables and BMD subgroups (normal BMD, osteopenia or low than expected for age, osteoporosis or low normal). However in both analyses there were no statistically significant difference between the mentioned groups. Therefore, these outcomes were not expressed in “results” section.

Results

The study population includes 49 individuals: 39 Haemophilia A (35 severe, 4 moderate) and 10 Haemophilia B (8 severe, 2 moderate) all of whom had been receiving regularly prophylactic factor replacement therapy since 2001. Because prophylactic factor treatments was not available before 2001 in Turkey. According to age distribution vast majority of the study group were younger than 50 years (n: 43, 88%) and only 6 patients were over the age of 50. The average age was 36.0 ± 11.35 years (mean ± standard deviation).

There was no significant alcohol consumption (>2 drink per day) in any patient but 26 of patients (53%) were smoking and 14 of them (28.6%) were heavy smoker with a history of more than 10 pack-years smoking. No significant association was found between BMD and smoking habit in any degree. According to serologic assessment, HCV seropositivity (n=8, 16.3%) was the most common among our patients and there was no patient infected with HIV. HBV seropositivity was found in one patient (2%). Statistical correlation could not found between BMD and viral serologic status. Mean BMI was 26.4 in our study group and only a weak positive correlation was determined between BMI and Z-score in TH (r=0.292, p=0.042).

According to Z score assessment for less than 50 years old patients; 15/43 (34.8%) had Z score ≤-2 indicated a BMD “lower than expected for age”, 16/43 (37.2%) had Z score between -1 and -2 which was evaluated as “low normal” and 12/43 (27.9%) had “normal” BMD with a Z-score of >-1 (Figure 1). Mean Z score values of this group were found -1.23 in LS, -0.90 in TH and -0.81 in FN. Minimum Z-score was -4.2 in TH and FN in one patient at the age of 47. Based on T-score criteria, 4 patients (66.6%) of more than 50 years old had osteoporosis and the rest (33.3%) had normal BMD. Mean T-scores were found -1.18 in LS, -1.42 in TH and -1.87 in FN. Minimum T-score was -3.3 in LS in one patient at the age of 58 (Table 1).

Vitamin D deficiency (<50 nmol/L) was very common and detected in 38 patients (77.5%), although vitamin D insufficiency (50-75 nmol/L) was found only in 9 patients (18.4%). Mean serum 25(OH)D concentration was 32 nmol/L.
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Table 1. DXA scan results for LS, TH and FN in patients <50 years and ≥50 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean ± SD (range)</th>
<th>95% CI for mean</th>
<th>Minimum-Maximum</th>
<th>Lower/Upper bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z-score (&lt;50 yrs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar spine</td>
<td>-1.24 ± 1.06</td>
<td>-1.56/-0.90</td>
<td>-3.60/1.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total hip</td>
<td>-0.90 ± 1.21</td>
<td>-1.28/-0.53</td>
<td>-4.20/1.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral neck</td>
<td>-0.81 ± 1.29</td>
<td>-1.21/-0.42</td>
<td>-4.20/2.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-score (&gt;50 yrs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar spine</td>
<td>-1.18 ± 1.87</td>
<td>-3.15/0.78</td>
<td>-3.30/1.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total hip</td>
<td>-1.42 ± 1.39</td>
<td>-2.87/0.04</td>
<td>-2.90/0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral neck</td>
<td>-1.87 ± 1.11</td>
<td>-3.04/-0.70</td>
<td>-2.70/-0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Characteristics of the patient group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean ± SD (range)</th>
<th>95% CI for mean</th>
<th>Minimum-Maximum</th>
<th>Lower/Upper bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joint score (HJHS)</td>
<td>25.6 ± 17</td>
<td>19.5-32.3</td>
<td>3-56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FISH score</td>
<td>24.2 ± 4.9</td>
<td>22.8-25.7</td>
<td>15-32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(25 (OH) vitD3) (nmol/L)</td>
<td>39.1 ± 18.3</td>
<td>33.9-44.4</td>
<td>6-91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alk. Phosphatase (ALP) (U/L)</td>
<td>80.6 ± 20.2</td>
<td>74.8-86.4</td>
<td>52-144</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (Ca²⁺) (mg/dL)</td>
<td>9.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>9.6-9.8</td>
<td>8.7-10.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus (P⁻) (mg/dL)</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.9-3.3</td>
<td>2.1-4.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parathormone (PTH) (pg/mL)</td>
<td>47.7 ± 24.4</td>
<td>40.6-54.9</td>
<td>9.3-119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (BMI)</td>
<td>26.4 ± 4.5</td>
<td>25.1-27.7</td>
<td>18-43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

This study confirmed that patients with haemophilia have an increased prevalence of low BMD even in young patient group of less than 50 years of age. Based on WHO criteria, according to Z score assessment 71% of patients of less than 50 years had low BMD. Our results showed that there is a significant correlation between FISH score and BMD in TH and FN. Also we found a correlation between Joint score (HJHS) and BMD in TH. Additionally there was no statistically significant correlation was found between BMD and other potentially related parameters as viral serologic status, BMI, smoking habit, and the serum levels of 25(OH) D, Ca, P, ALP and PTH.

According to a recent comprehensive report which was prepared in collaboration with the International Osteoporosis Foundation (IOF) and the European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industry Associations (EFPIA); the overall prevalence of osteoporosis in men over 50 years old in the European Union was 6.6% with an increasing prevalence reaching to 16.6% in men over 80 years old [6]. Available studies showed that prevalence of low BMD in patients
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with haemophilia is significantly higher than general population. Therefore the results of patients were assessed in comparison to the recognized standard BMD levels for healthy male population in this study. Our study group was substantially consisted of young patients who were under the age of 50 (88%). The incidence of osteoporosis in age-matched healthy population is very rare, therefore a control group was not preferred to be used with the fact that Z-score was already reflecting the comparison based on age.

The first study assessing bone density in patients with haemophilia was published by Gallacher et al. in 1994, indicated that haemophiliacs had lower BMD (mean of 0.19 g/cm² in LS and 0.13 g/cm² in FN) compared to healthy population. Liver dysfunction and immobilization were defined to be the primary relevant factors lead to low BMD [8]. From 1994 to 2007 there was not a sufficient number of published data about bone health in patients with haemophilia until Wallny et al. shed light on osteoporosis in haemophilia as an underestimated comorbidity. Osteopenia and osteoporosis rates in their case group were 25.8% and 43.5%, respectively, confirmed the high prevalence of low BMD in haemophiliacs. It was suggested that concomitant HCV infection may lead to reduced bone mass besides haemophilic arthropathy as a main cause [4]. Although our low BMD rates were similar to these studies, an association between BMD and HCV seropositivity could not be detected in our study. Nevertheless, our study also supports that joint disability due to haemophilic arthropaty seems to be the most important predisposing factor for low BMD.

In a case-control study about osteoporosis in young haemophilic patients from western India, a statistically significant correlation was found between joint evaluation scores and BMD of TH, but not with LS. According to this study there was no correlation between HCV status and BMD of any site [17]. These outcomes were very compatible with ours as we also found the significant correlation of joint scores with BMD in TH and FN, but not in LS. Moreover, it justified our result which showed no correlation between BMD and HCV status. With a very similar study design, Gerstner G et al. suggested that lower 25(OH)D levels, lower BMI, lower activity and joint scores, HIV and HCV seropositivity were all associated with increased bone loss [18]. In our study, FISH and HJHS score which were similar to physical activity questionnaire and joint range of motion score in Gerstner’s study were used and we found the

| Table 3. Correlations between BMD and potential related parameters by Pearson correlation analysis |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| T-score (LS)                                      | HJHS    | FISH     | Vitamin D | ALP      | Ca²⁺     | P¹       | PTH      | BMI      |
| Pearson C                                        | -0.077  | 0.042    | 0.147     | 0.028    | -0.135   | -0.200   | 0.087    | 0.111    |
| Sig. 2-tailed                                    | 0.704   | 0.774    | 0.313     | 0.846    | 0.357    | 0.168    | 0.560    | 0.449    |
| T-score (TH)                                     | Pearson C | -0.456  | 0.385    | -0.048   | -0.240   | -0.266   | 0.007    | 0.049    | 0.267    |
| Sig. 2-tailed                                    | 0.017   | 0.006    | 0.745     | 0.097    | 0.065    | 0.961    | 0.742    | 0.064    |
| T-score (FN)                                     | Pearson C | -0.339  | 0.443    | -0.069   | -0.187   | -0.175   | 0.146    | -0.076   | 0.207    |
| Sig. 2-tailed                                    | 0.084   | 0.001    | 0.635     | 0.198    | 0.230    | 0.317    | 0.610    | 0.153    |
| Z-score (LS)                                     | Pearson C | -0.024  | -0.042   | 0.144    | 0.061    | -0.151   | -0.198   | 0.093    | 0.138    |
| Sig. 2-tailed                                    | 0.906   | 0.774    | 0.325     | 0.677    | 0.300    | 0.172    | 0.536    | 0.344    |
| Z-score (TH)                                     | Pearson C | -0.401  | 0.321    | -0.050   | -0.212   | -0.285   | -0.001   | 0.064    | 0.292    |
| Sig. 2-tailed                                    | 0.038   | 0.025    | 0.733     | 0.144    | 0.047    | 0.995    | 0.671    | 0.042    |
| Z-score (FN)                                     | Pearson C | -0.209  | 0.330    | -0.080   | -0.140   | -0.233   | 0.130    | -0.030   | 0.262    |
| Sig. 2-tailed                                    | 0.295   | 0.021    | 0.582     | 0.336    | 0.108    | 0.373    | 0.843    | 0.069    |
same association between BMD and joint scores. But we could not find any association between BMD with 25(OH)D and BMI. Both of these studies concluded that BMD was significantly correlated with scoring systems which were reflecting directly or indirectly joint ability status. Another subsequent cross-sectional study assessing the relationship between osteoporosis and haemophilic arthropathy in patients with severe haemophilia revealed that factor replacement status, the number of arthropathic joints and joint bleeding episodes in the past year were significant independent predictors of both spinal and femoral BMD [19].

First meta-analysis of seven case-control studies evaluating BMD in haemophiliacs also confirmed the association between severe haemophilia and low BMD. However, lower BMD was not significantly correlated with lower BMI or HCV seropositivity [9]. A review by Ghosh K et al. was concluded that the causes of osteoporosis were diverse and might vary in different countries and different patient groups. Beside this fact, they also suggested that lack of physical exercise, recurrent hemarthrosis attacks, vitamin D deficiency and low BMI were associated with low BMD [20]. In another important study, low BMD was diagnosed in 26.9% of the patients with haemophilia and 20% of the controls (p=0.0001). It was also suggested that the levels of physical activity and 25(OH)D were independent predictors of low BMD [21]. In 2014, a systematic review and meta-analysis which included ten studies, suggested that patients with haemophilia present a significant reduction in BMD of both LS and TH. But there was no evidence that age, BMI, physical activity degree or serologic status affected BMD of LS [22].

In last two years, couple of studies were added to the literature in this area. In one of these studies, it was found that only cigarette smoking was significantly related to low BMD among other variables including physical activity, calcium intake and demographic properties [23]. Another case-control study from UK showed that patients who have more severely affected joints, lower activity levels, HIV or HCV seropositivity and lower BMI had lower BMD [24]. Lastly two comprehensive reviews were published in 2015 and both emphasized that low BMD is prevalent among patients with haemophilia. Physical inactivity and vitamin D deficiency were played a significant role in bone loss [25, 26].

As we researched, our study has an important role to be the first assessment of BMD and related parameters in adult Turkish haemophilic patients. It confirms that low BMD is very common in patients with haemophilia and rate of prevalence is very similar to mentioned studies from other geographic regions. Additionally it also supports that vitamin D deficiency/insufficiency is common in patients. According to our outcomes, decreased joint mobility is the major determinant of BMD and none of other potential variables seem to have a strong correlation. One of the prominent outcome from this study is using FISH questionnaire to distinguish the high risk patients with haemophilic arthropathy and related low BMD is effective and practical.

HJHS was also found useful to represent low BMD in TH. Few studies have assessed the importance of FISH as a prognostic tool in patients with haemophilia [15, 27-30]. Furthermore, those studies which primarily assessed the effectiveness of FISH for diagnosing and monitoring haemophilic arthropathy did not directly evaluate its relation to BMD status. They were all performed in children patient group and mainly included the comparisons with other joint scoring systems and radiological methods. There are also some studies which have analyzed relationship between HJHS and BMD in patients with haemophilia [31]. Based on our results, we suggest that FISH score and HJHS might be used as the simple predictors of BMD by reflecting the severity of haemophilic arthropathy in adult patients.

In conclusion, awareness of low BMD as an important comorbidity is very crucial to perform an early and adequate management in patients with haemophilia. Objective assessment tools seem effective for haemophilia care providers to distinguish patients with high risk for low BMD.
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